

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology, and Society Classes

Joshua Pearce
Science, Technology, and Society Program
The Pennsylvania State University

Contact Information:

Joshua M. Pearce
Science, Technology, and Society Program
The Pennsylvania State University
201D Old Botany Building
University Park, PA 16802
email: jmp228@psu.edu
phone: (814) 865-2063
fax: (814) 865-6581

Biography:

Joshua Pearce is currently pursuing his Ph.D. in Materials Science and Engineering with a graduate minor in Science, Technology, and Society (STS) at the Pennsylvania State University. His primary research concentration is in solar cell device physics and material science. He also has a great interest in teaching STS topics because of the inherent excitement generated from inciting students to think critically about their world. He currently instructs STS100, which is a history of science and technology course for undergraduate students of all years and disciplines. The highlight of which is a self-directed learning assignment meant to promote active citizenship in STS. The now infamous "Do Something!" assignment has been showcased effectively in two STS courses: STS200- Critical Issues in STS and STS100- The Ascent of Humanity.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to outline the viability of a student directed assignment within collegiate level Science Technology and Society (STS) curricula for the improvement of the utilization of scientific knowledge and technology in society. The assignment, christened the "Do Something!" assignment, is a novel teaching tool that utilizes students' individual interests to encourage in-depth learning across disciplines and capitalizes on their personal skills and talents to solve real world problems. The "Do Something!" assignment has been utilized in two STS courses at the Pennsylvania State University (STS 100: The Ascent of Humanity and STS 200: Critical Issues in STS). The structure of this assignment allowed students to make small but concrete contributions towards a sustainable future by applying STS principles. Outcomes indicated that (i) students had an overwhelming positive attitude toward the assignment, (ii) students accomplished in-depth understanding of STS issues outside of their individual fields of study, (iii) students perceived a high level of attainment which resulted in a personal fulfillment, and (iv) that this positive perception encourages them to attempt similar socially beneficial actions outside of the classroom.

Keywords: self-directed learning, active citizenship, active education

Introduction

Science, Technology, and Society (STS) education offers an academic approach that bridges the gaps across disciplines in order to meet the increasing educational demands of a hyper-technical society. STS education fosters critical examination of current science and technology and provides students with the foundations for responsible citizenship (Waks, 1987). However, even with a firm understanding of the inappropriate uses of some sci-tech applications, students are often reluctant to attempt to change society's use of it.

In the majority of disciplines students are required to replicate memorized information at the expense of higher-order cognitive skills that are associated with critical thinking (Twombly, 1992; Cross, 1993). Even in courses involving problem solving, creativity is down played in favor of standard algorithms for solving problems that have been solved innumerable times before. This often leads to student 'burnout', a state of depleted energy due to the excessive psychological and emotional demands on the student and the feeling of low personal accomplishment (Neumann, Finaly-Neumann, and Reichel, 1990). In addition, when students are taught to replicate the work of their predecessors they tend to more readily accept the status quo without questioning it. This system breeds apathy that is evidenced in student's lack of enthusiasm for their coursework and a general lack of civic involvement. This apathy can be attributed to the fundamental structure of our society and our educational system (Weiss, 1965). Students often believe that their work/effort does not make a difference, as they have not had experiences to challenge this perception. A self-directed project that utilizes student's individual interests to encourage in-depth learning across disciplines and capitalizes on their personal skills and talents will not only solve real world problems but demonstrate to the students that their work has value, and thus encourage them to be active citizens in the future.

Motivation

The underlying motivation for the "Do Something!" assignment is to encourage students to make changes in the utilization of science and technology by society as measured by the goals of sustainability. The broad definition of sustainability demands that economic development be pursued in such a way as to meet the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations (WCED, 1987). A more rigorous definition of sustainability indicates the need to maintain natural capital (such as biodiversity, natural ecosystems, land quality, freshwater sources, air quality, etc.) (Hawken, Lovins, and Hawken, 1999), or the total capital stock over time (Barbier, Pearce, and Markandaya, 1989; Daly, 1991), and to preserve the productive capacity of the global resource base for the indefinite future (Solow, 1992). As Peter Harper (2000) proposed the problem of contemporary society can be stated succinctly: "We need to get (probably) 11 billion people through the transition complex to 'Sustainia', the sustainable state, before irreversible damage is done". Simply achieving a sustainable state does not guarantee a utopia or even a high quality of life. However, it does make these universal goals possible, unlike our current society, which due to the inappropriate use of science and

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

technology, sacrifices the quality of life of future generations for the material comfort of a few living in the present (Anderson, 1997).

Background

Historically, many educators have productively encouraged their students to be active citizens (Minkler 1997, 1998). In many instances students have been tremendously successful at effecting positive change. Student initiated projects have led to the development of everything from teen centers, and new classes, to the removal of hazardous waste from local communities, and in some cases even the alteration of government policies (Lesko, 1992). Thus there is precedence that students can and do effect community change and this assignment builds on that by institutionalizing student active citizenship in an STS curriculum. In the broadest sense, STS education can be viewed as citizenship training and, "citizenship training that does not result in practical and effective political action is misdirected and fails in achieving its primary objective." (Mosher, 1943).

The primary objective of an STS education is to provide contextual understanding of current science and technology to provide students with the intellectual foundations for responsible citizenship (Waks, 1987). Unfortunately, to merely educate students on any issue of social concern does not guarantee their acting on their beliefs (Oliver, 1984). This gulf between 'knowing' and 'doing' must be overcome if STS education is to reach its full potential.

The educational model found in the assignment also fits well within the STS framework to teaching and learning and supports the standards set forth in the National Science Education Standards (NSES). STS is an approach to teaching and learning within the context of human social experience, focusing on student-identified problems with local interest, and scientific and technical components. The NSES outlines the development of self-directed learning by stating: "Students need the opportunity to evaluate and reflect on their own scientific understanding and ability" (National Research Council, 1996). The "Do Something!" assignment is an ideal synthesis of self-directed learning and STS citizenship instruction, within a classroom environment.

The basis for this particular application of self-directed learning originated in Penn State's STS 200 in the Spring of 1999 when the option to do a self-directed active project rather than a standard paper was made available to the class (Foltz, 1999). Since, this time, the concept of a self-directed active citizenship assignment has been formalized in the now infamous "Do Something!" assignment and instituted into the curriculum of two classes at Penn State.

Description of Project

Objectives

The purpose of this paper is to outline the effects of a student directed assignment within college level STS courses on active citizenship and is guided by the following questions:

1. In what ways does self-directed learning influence student attitudes towards STS instruction?

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

2. Is self-directed learning effective in encouraging the in-depth learning across disciplines demanded of STS courses?
3. Is a self-directed assignment a viable method for improving the sustainable utilization of scientific knowledge and technology in contemporary society?
4. Will students who have engaged a self-directed learning assignment continue socially beneficial active citizenship in the future?

Design

The self-directed active citizenship project was used in the Spring semester of 1999, in STS 200: Critical Issues in STS, in the Fall of 2000 in STS 100: The Ascent of Humanity with 77 and 45 Penn State students respectively with the author directing the assignment. Both classes consisted of students of both sexes from all academic years majoring in a variety of disciplines. Each student was asked to do a project to make changes in the utilization of science and technology in the Penn State community or larger society. The change would be evaluated by sustainability criteria. The projects were either chosen from a list provided by the instructor or were designed by the students. The assigned list of projects was generated from suggestions found in resources governing sustainability in educational institutions and from the personal experiences of the instructor (Creighton, 1998; Green Destiny Council, 2000). The text of the Spring 2001 "Do Something!" assignment is included in the appendix.

In order to guarantee initial student support, the project was introduced as an alternative to a final examination. It was also designed to be a positive foil to the negative and unintended consequences of the misuse of science and technology throughout history that the courses addressed. In order to inspire the students further, the short video entitled the 'Power of One' was utilized (Earth Communication Office, 1994). Its objective is to convey to students the potential of individual actions and to motivate them to tackle real world problems.

The assignment was divided into four parts. Part I, entitled the 'Plan of Action', entailed a student choosing his/her own project based upon his/her skills and interests. As part of the Plan of Action the students were required to do preliminary research to determine the benefits of their project, set realistic goals, and to make a list of steps to accomplish their goals. In addition to supplying a framework for students to organize their projects, the plan of action provided an opportunity for the instructor to assist in both the success of the project by supplying advice, references, and contacts. Part II was a progress report. It was designed to encourage students to begin the assignment as early as possible, to increase the chances of their success and allow time for feedback and guidance from the instructor. Part III was the implementation of their plan. In part IV students wrote a report summarizing their background research, a formal definition of the problem, how their solution effected a change towards sustainability, factual information that was necessary to solve their problem, a list of references, and an executive summary: a review of their work and the outcome and any of their own written pieces used to accomplish the goals of their project.

The grading of this assignment was based on the demonstrated effort which the students put into their projects, original thought used in overcoming problems that surfaced during the

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

implementation of their project, and the depth of learning demonstrated by the quality of their background research and executive summary. The diversity of projects, different skills students brought to their projects, and the difficulty of implementation in one semester individual "Do Something!" assignments were taken into account when grading the projects. The instructor determined the depth of students' interdisciplinary learning from the synthesis of their research.

The instructor ascertained the students' perceptions of the assignment from class discussions, a mid-project questionnaire, and their comments in their final reports. Quantitative data was collected from the students' final reports concerning the concrete improvements that students were able to make towards sustainability and their intended future plans.

Results

In the past two years progressively more refined versions of the "Do Something!" assignment have been administered with numerous successes. The outcomes of the assignment are (i) students had an overwhelming positive attitude toward the assignment, (ii) students accomplished in-depth understanding of STS issues outside of their fields of study, (iii) students perceived a high level of attainment which resulted in personal fulfillment, and (iv) this positive perception encouraged them to attempt similar socially beneficial actions outside of the classroom.

1. Overwhelming positive attitude

Both STS200 and STS100 are popular general education courses at Penn State University. In the sections where it was offered, the "Do Something!" assignment proved to be by far the most popular assignment. The vast majority (>90%) of students took advantage of the opportunity the assignment provided to demonstrate their ability to effect positive change. Although the original incentive for initiating a project was a "good grade" the assignment quickly became personal for most students. They took pride in the work they were doing and the changes they were making.

Students became self-motivated during the course of the assignment and maintained a high interest level in the success of their project. In his final paper a senior in telecommunications wrote: "It was gratifying for me to see the progress made throughout the course of the semester and to know that I was a part of it." The students also reveled in the newly discovered abilities to effect their communities. A sophomore in Computer Science wrote, "After my experience with this project my advice is...remember you can do anything you put your mind to." They learned that they could make a difference. A junior studying Electrical Engineering concluded "I think this result [the successes of his project] goes to show how much difference one person can make, and how being smart with our technology usage really does make a difference".

2. In-depth Learning Across Disciplines

Due to the nature of the assignment even those students who chose a project related to their major were forced to explore disciplines outside of their specialty to succeed in their goals.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

The projects entailed a detailed scientific component to understand the nature and the consequences of pushing one technology over another. For example, one student compared the advantages and disadvantages of liquid crystal flat panel computer displays to conventional cathode-ray tube (CRT) displays. On the technical side, the engineering student determined that flat panel displays use less energy, take up less desk space, use less materials (and thus contributed to less pollution during fabrication and at the end-of-life), give off less radiation, have better resolution, and take less time to turn on. At first blush the newer technology seems blatantly superior and an easy "sell" to Penn State computer administrators. However, the assignment also entailed a societal component, which demanded the understanding of the economic or social barriers to the adoption of one technology over another. In this case, the student found that the flat panel displays were more expensive than CRT's and that they would not make up for the additional cost in energy savings over their predicted lifetimes. Penn State already owns thousands of monitors that can continue to be re-used even if the central processing unit is updated. Thus, the student's final recommendation was to not to replace the old CRT displays with flat panel displays.

This multi-disciplinary approach to complex problems challenged most students who were unaccustomed to attacking problems from more than one direction. A sophomore in Business Administration explains, "When I chose this [project], I did not, by any extent, realize the challenges that it would incur". However, the majority quickly learned through necessity (of success) how to span the bridge across the relevant disciplines to gain success for their projects.

Unlike conventional assignments students were actively encouraged to utilize assistance from their peers. In fact, many students were successful because of recruiting friends to help them complete their projects – thereby adding expertise from relevant disciplines, seeding interdisciplinary collaboration, and spreading the STS paradigm outside of the classroom. For example an Education major had help from her friends to educate other students on the bio-availability of nutrients in processed foods. In her final report she stated, "I enjoyed doing this project a great deal, I also enjoyed working with my roommates and friends on this project on the same level because they have never done something like this before."

One would assume that students would choose projects centered around their majors because that is where their interests and experiences would be the strongest. Surprisingly, many students chose projects completely outside of their disciplines. For example, a junior studying Hotel, Restaurant, and Institutional Management was curious about the quality of water he was drinking and tested several sources around Penn State and his home. Over the course of the semester he learned a staggering amount about chemistry and environmental regulations on his own with only modest input from the instructor. He stated, "This project was educational and also provided a chance to get out and explore, rather than going through the regular class routine." When students explored detailed projects outside of their disciplines the propensity for intellectual growth was enormous. This extensive learning was enabled by the straddling of disciplines, which STS education in general cultivates. A sophomore Business major feelings towards the assignment were indicative of those of her classmates, "Overall I learned a great deal from this assignment."

3. Perceived (and actual) high level of attainment

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

The following case studies are indicative of the breadth of interests that this assignment encouraged and demonstrates the viability of students applying their understanding of science and technology for making positive changes in their Universities and communities.

A female sophomore Human Development and Family Studies student was intrigued with recent research studying the roles that animals play in human development. She reviewed literature that found the presence of a friendly animal significantly lowered heart rates in people and that a year after having heart surgery pet owners had the highest survival rates (Burke, 1992). A similar study found that elderly who owned a pet were less likely to make visits to their doctors than elderly who did not own a pet (the pet owners averaged only 13 encounters with the health system while non-pet owners visited 37 times on average) (Raina, Bonnett, and Walter-Toews, 1998).

The student decided to try 'pet therapy' on her own. She and her dog began visiting an adult day care center (a place for the elderly to spend the day to socialize and have someone take care of them while their loved ones are at work). Her bi-monthly visits, which lasted over the entire semester, were extremely popular with the elderly of the center. They made her promise to return in "two Mondays" every time she was preparing to leave. She observed remarkable changes in the people. One woman, who was well into her nineties, was always sleeping during the first few visits. However, after she became acquainted with the dog, she always awoke to enthusiastically play with the dog and talk about her own pets in the past. The student wrote, "I like to think that I have given them something to look forward to." She plans to continue this project over the remainder of her stay in State College.

Another student was an employee of Residential Computing (RESCOM), the technical support for all Penn State computing. His job entailed going to student's dorms room and fixing their computer problems. For his project he chose to enable the sleep mode in computer monitors in order to conserve energy and thus reduce air pollution because the majority of students leave their computers on 24 hours/day. Over the course of the project, during his 12-hours/week shift he worked on approximately 80 computers. Only ten percent of these computers already had the power savings options enabled. The owners of the other 90%, or seventy students, **all** allowed him to change their settings in order to save power once the benefits were explained to them. The majority of students were ignorant of the technology and the implications of their energy waste.

The results of his rather modest effort (it only took ~30 seconds to enable the power saving functions per monitor) are astounding. When extrapolating the savings for his project over a year he found that he saved the University over \$2,200! This figure was determined by estimating that the average computer monitor draws 200W, is only in use for 8 hours a day, and the discounted price of electricity to the university is \$0.03082/ kW-hr). The economic savings are impressive but the environmental savings are even more remarkable. This project prevented the use of 45,000 gallons of water, the emission of over 75 tons of carbon dioxide (the gas most responsible for the green house effect and global warming), over 400 pounds each of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides (major contributors to acid rain), and over 20 pounds of particulates (which have been implicated in numerous health problems)! These numbers were determined by calculating the fraction of pollutants offset from a 500 megawatt coal plant produces 3.5 billion kilowatt-hours per year (UCS, 2000).

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

He explained in his final project summary, "Never in my wildest dreams would I have imagined that I would make an impact like this just by attending an STS class. I really feel good about making a difference at Penn State." This particular project further demonstrates how even small changes at large educational institutions can have massive positive effects. He is now expanding this project by encouraging the other RESCOM employees to do the same. Recall that these results were for only 70 monitors – there are over 10,000 currently be used at Penn State.

Two students (freshman undecided and senior engineering) became concerned with the material resource efficiency of fellow students. At the end of every semester as students move out, thousands of dollars of material goods are discarded (sometimes for no better reason than space in the ride home). Thus, they decided to run a Good Will donation drive on move out week. They blanketed the East Hall Residences (freshman dorms) with fliers and boxes. They succeeded in collecting several carloads of clothes and other goods for the underprivileged. They also succeeded in reducing the solid waste from these dorms and at the same time they diminished the amount of money Penn State pays in tipping fees for "donations" to the Central City landfill.

An electrical engineering student noticed that although the majority of Penn State's campus is illuminated with compact fluorescents many of the older buildings that make up West Halls (where he lives) were lighted with incandescent light bulbs. Incandescent light bulbs are enormously inefficient light producers, expending over 90% of input energy as waste heat. He chose to convince housing officials to make the change to compact fluorescents in the older buildings. Compact fluorescents last over thirteen times as long as standard incandescent light bulbs and use only a fraction of the energy while providing the same quality of illumination. He estimated that the savings would be over \$9,000 for every 10,000 hours of illumination for the buildings he concentrated on. Penn State officials agreed that his plan would save an enormous amount of energy but were concerned about the possibility of theft (compact fluorescents cost approximately \$10 a bulb). The project ended in a compromise – all public areas and fixtures such as outside lights would be retrofitted with compact fluorescents, however, the University refused to place compact fluorescents in individual's rooms. His final report included several photographs of the recently installed lights. He is also continuing his project, by trying to get the private room lights treated similarly to furniture (which students are financially responsible for) to eliminate the risk of theft for the University. In this way compact fluorescent light bulbs could then be placed in all dorm rooms campus wide.

A fifth year architectural engineer who had done his honors thesis on fluorescent lighting took lighting to the next level. His senior thesis involved computer simulating different types of lighting environments for a fictitious computer laboratory. He found that the new state-of-the-art fluorescent bulbs with a smaller diameter not only used less electricity to provide an equivalent amount of light- but that they also offered superior illumination. For his project he fought through the economic considerations necessary for PSU to replace all the conventional T8 fluorescent lights with the new T5 lighting system. It turned out that the buyback time was within the economic criteria set by the University, so PSU has begun the transition. One can observe the new lights in the Dieke library and most recently in the STS offices in Old Botany Building. The economic and environmental benefits for this particular project are enormous and will continue to grow as PSU continues the transition.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

A less direct, but equally effective method of encouraging the responsible use of science and technology that students found is to invest in businesses that are doing so. Several Business majors and a Restaurant and Resource Management major chose to do their "Do Something!" assignment on ethical (or socially responsible) investing. Socially responsible investing allows people to take responsibility for what their money is doing for the world around them by screening investments to match their ethical standards based on social and/or environmental criteria. Their initial research found that aside from the satisfaction that comes from acting consistently with ones moral principles, there is strong evidence that over the long term social investors can expect to do as well as other investors. For example, Social investment indexes have consistently outperformed the S&P 500. Twice as many socially responsible mutual funds, across all major asset classes, get top Morningstar ratings (Social Investment Forum, 1999).

All three students had initially planned to research ethical investing in general, then look at a few companies in detail, and change the investment habits of their relatives (who were all successful investors). All three succeeded in convincing their relatives to attempt socially responsible investing. One business major felt so strongly about socially responsible investing after completing initial research that she presented a formal talk to her peers on the subject. This project also has not ended with the semester- several students are attempting to get PSU to consider ethically screening its endowment.

4. Socially beneficial actions in the future

Not every student was able to accomplish all of their goals, however, all the projects obtained some level of success by educating the student on some facet of the need for sustainability in his/her community. For example an Exercise and Sports Science major became concerned about the emissions from the PSU on-campus coal fired steam plant. The coal plant possesses a bag house to avoid emission of particulates but unfortunately lacks a scrubber and thus is a heavy polluter of nitrous oxides and sulfur dioxide (gases responsible for acid rain). His project was to encourage PSU to purchase a scrubber for the coal fired steam plant. Through numerous interviews with Penn State coal scientists and employees at the plant the student was able to gain a thorough background on both the science and operation of the coal plant and its environmental hazards. Unfortunately, he found that a scrubber would cost ~\$80 million; and unlike the other projects that would pay for themselves, the pollution from the coal plant was being externalized. Slightly demoralized, but still hopeful, he gathered several hundred signatures on a petition asking the university to install the scrubber for the betterment of Pennsylvania's environment. He sent copies of the petition along with his summary of scrubber technology to key administrators and public officials. Regrettably, he was never answered. Although, he did not meet his primary objective of installing a scrubber, he did educate many fellow students and faculty about the ramifications of energy use at Penn State.

Even students that were not successful in accomplishing their goals were overwhelmingly in favor of this assignment and felt that they learned a great deal. In addition to the successful examples given above the vast majority of unsuccessful students displayed a desire to continue their projects in the future without any academic reward (after the semester ended). For example, a junior psychology major was not successful at convincing the Penn State dining halls to add organic food to the menus. She was, however, successful at generating a network of other

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

students interested in sustainable agriculture. She explains in her final report: "After looking over my outcomes I feel that I was not that successful. But I think I did reach a lot of different students who I believe will encourage the dining commons to include organic foods in the menus. I will continue to persuade and contact as many people as possible to achieve and accomplish my goal." Other students also expressed a desire to attempt socially beneficial actions concerning the use of science and technology in the future. A freshman Engineer planned for future actions in his final report, "Next time I embark on a challenge such as this, I will have to be more adamant about my proposal for change and try to get other students in support for my plan of action."

Subverting Risks

Although this assignment can be extremely fulfilling for both the instructor and the students involved, it does entail a degree of risk. First, extreme care must be taken in generating the initial lists of projects. Students are not acclimated to "doing" things for assignments. Thus, if given the opportunity some students will opt for the project that is most similar to a conventional assignment and accomplish nothing. For example, the first "Do Something!" assignment included a project, which entailed making a list of "25 things to save the earth while at PSU". Some of the lists were quite insightful and included policy suggestions that were incorporated into future assignments. However, the majority of those particular projects were comparatively feeble to the projects where students were actively involved in some STS change.

This is a high maintenance assignment, which demands both considerable time and involvement on the part of the instructor. Positive support from the instructor is also imperative to provide motivational enhancement (Wills, 1985) and to maintain high morale in students whose projects are not relatively "successful". Fortunately, the key to ensuring enthusiastic collegiate student involvement (the liberating structure of self-direction) is provided in the framework of the assignment itself. The majority of college students, as young adults prefer to be self-directed learners. The assumption that adults prefer to be self-directed learners gained early prominence and popularity with Knowles's model (Knowles, 1980). Patricia Cranton (1994) also supports the idea that self-directed learning is the adult educator's goal. However, the educator must be cautious that the attempt to develop self-direction through coercion does not become self-contradictory (Torbert, 1978) and thus ineffective. The easiest method to subvert this obstacle is to provide the students with the option to pick the project of their choice and then guide them into topics in multiple disciplines that compliment the learning on a particular project. Using this method, the student becomes responsible for the content of his or her own education, while the instructor is able to ensure the necessary breadth and depth of material covered.

Conclusion

This paper clearly establishes the viability of a student directed assignment within college level Science, Technology, and Society courses for the alteration of the utilization of scientific

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

knowledge and technology in society towards sustainability. There is a clear ability of student directed assignments to utilize student's individual interests to encourage comprehensive learning across disciplines. This paper showed that (i) students had an overwhelming positive attitude toward the a self-directed assignment, (ii) students accomplished in-depth understanding of STS issues outside of their individual fields of study, (iii) students perceived a high level of attainment which resulted in a personal fulfillment, and (iv) that this positive perception encourages them to attempt similar socially beneficial actions outside of the classroom.

Acknowledgements:

The author would like to thank W. K. Bauchspies for invaluable discussions regarding this work.

References:

Anderson, R.C. (1997). *Interface Sustainability Report*, La Grange, GA: Interface Corp.

Barbier, E.B., Pearce, D.W., and Markandaya, A. (1989). Environmental sustainability and cost-benefit analysis, *Environmental Planning*, A1 22, 1259-1266.

Burke, S. (1992). In the Presence of Animals, *Science and Society*, Feb 24, 64.

Cranton, P. (1994). Self-Directed and Transformative Instructional Development, *Journal of Higher Education*, 65(6), 724-744.

Creighton, S. H. (1998). *Greening the ivory tower: improving the environmental track record of universities, colleges and other institutions*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Cross, P.K. (1993). Improving the quality of instruction. In A. Levine (Ed), *Higher learning in America: 1980-2000*, 287-308, Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.

Daly, H.E. (1991). Towards an Ecological Economics, *The World Bank Working Paper*, Washington, DC: Environment Department, The World Bank.

Earth Communication Office (1994). *Power of One*, www.oneearth.org

Foltz, F. (1999). *Science, Technology, and Society 200: Critical Issues in STS: Spring 1999*, The Pennsylvania State University.

Green Destiny Council (2000). *Penn State Indicators Report: Steps Toward a Sustainable University*, The Pennsylvania State University.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

Harper P. (2000). The end in sight? Some speculations on environmental trends in the twenty-first century, *Futures*, 32, 361-384.

Hawken, P., Lovins, A., Lovins, L.H. (1999). *Natural Capitalism: Creating the next industrial revolution*, Boston, MA: Little, Brown and Company.

Khanlian, J. Turning Students On to Active Citizenship (1976). *Today's Education*, 65(3), 87-88.

Knowles, M. (1980). *The Modern Practice of Adult Education*. New York, NY: Association Press.

Lesko, W. S. (1992), *No Kidding Around! Americas Young Activists are Changing Our World and You Can Too*, Kensington, MD: Information USA Inc.

Marlow, E. (1992). Active involvement in the higher education curriculum, *Education*, 113 (2), 251-253.

Minkler, J. (1997). Active Citizenship, *Social Studies Review*, 37(1), 64-67.

Minkler, J. (1998). *Active Citizenship: Empowering America's Youth. Social Studies Curriculum for Grades 7-12*. The Center for Multicultural Cooperation, www.active.citizenship.org

Mosher, W. (1943). Citizenship—As Action, *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 17(1), 55-60.

National Research Council (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Neumann, Y., Finaly-Neumann, E., and Reichel, A. (1990). Determinants and Consequences of Students' Burnout in Universities, *Journal of Higher education*, 61(1), 20-31.

Oliver, P. (1984). "If you don't do it, nobody else will": Contributors to local collective action, *American Sociological Review*, 49, 601-610.

Pearce, J. and Kirsch, S. (1999). *The Do Something Assignment, Science, Technology, and Society 200: Fall 1999*, The Pennsylvania State University.

Pearce, J. (2000). *Choose Your Own Adventure Assignment, Science, Technology, and Society 100: Fall 2000*, The Pennsylvania State University.

Pearce, J. (2001). *The Do Something Assignment, Science, Technology, and Society 100: Spring 2001*, The Pennsylvania State University.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

P. Raina, B. Bonnett, D. Waltner-Toews (1998). Relationship Between Pet Ownership and Healthcare Use Among Seniors, *The Changing Roles of Animals in Societ*, Presented at the 8th International Conference on Human-Animal Interactions, September 10-12, Prague.

Social Investment Forum (1999). *1999 Report on Socially Responsible Investing Trends in the United States*, November 4, SIF Industry Research Program, Washington, DC.

Solow, R. (1992). *An almost practical step towards sustainability*, RFF Discussion Paper, Resources for the Future, Washington, DC.

Torbert, W.R. (1978). Educating toward Shared Purpose, Self-Direction and Quality Work: The theory and Practice of Liberating Structure, *Journal of Higher Education*, 49(2), 109-135.

Twombly, S. (1992). Student perspectives on general education in a research university: An exploratory study. *Journal of General Education*, 41(3), 239-272.

Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) (2000), *Briefing: How coal works*, www.ucsusa.org/energy/brief.coal.html

Waks, L.J. (1987). A technology credo, *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 7(1/2), 357-366.

Weiss, J. (1965). The University as Corporation, *New University Thought*, Summer 1965, 31-45.

Wills, T.A. (1985). Supportive Functions of Interpersonal Relationships, In *Social Support and Health*, edited by S. Cohen and S. L. Syme. New York, NY: Academic Press.

World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) (1987). *Our Common Future*, Oxford University Press.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

Appendix 1: Text of the Spring 2001 Do Something! Assignment

Find an aspect of STS that you feel passionate about and do something about it!

This is your chance to make Penn State University (and the entire world) a better place, to leave your small mark on history, and get points for it! Below is a list of activities related to STS and a short list of ways to accomplish the challenging ones. Your assignment is to pick a project from the list or choose one of your own (with my prior approval jmp228@psu.edu) and work for a more appropriate use of science and technology in our society.

What to do:

1. **Plan of Action:** Decide what project you would like to do, why it is worthwhile, set a reasonable goal, and make a list of the steps you will take to accomplish it. This is your game plan and a chance for me to give you some feedback and help you accomplish your goal. It should be ~2 pages long. **Due 1/30.**
2. **Progress Report:** How are you doing? Is your plan working? Let me know in one page or less. **Due 3/15.**
3. Work to accomplish your project throughout the semester. This is the key part of the assignment- the actual doing!
4. **Final Report:** All of the projects will entail some amount of independent background research. Thus, you should include a definition of the problem, how your solution will effect positive change, any factual information you needed to solve your problem, and a list of references. Finally, include an executive summary. This is simply a review of your work and the outcome. You should also include any of your own written pieces used to accomplish your goal (i.e. letters to congressman). **Due 4/26.**

Suggestions for compelling Penn State to change:

1. Your best bet for success is to show by means of a well-researched idea that it will **SAVE** the University **MONEY** without lowering the quality of life here.
2. By way of email, calls, letters, and fighting through the red tape- schedule an appointment with the Head of an academic department or a University service (HFS, EHS, OPP, CAC, etc.)

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

3. Email Graham Spanier (He'll read it) at gspanier@psu.edu or write letters to the University Planners.
4. Write to local and state politicians.
5. Hold a demonstration. Bonus points if you make the news (and it was legal).
6. Sponsor a petition drive.
7. Publish an editorial in the Collegian.

Projects you can do on your own:

- Research Ethical Investment and either invest yourself, design a method to get your friends and relatives to change their investment habits, or write a proposal to the Board of Trustees to encourage them to pass PSU's investments through ethical/sustainability filters. www.socialinvest.org
- Write a lesson plan for grade school or high school students for an STS related topic and try it out in your hometown or a state college school (i.e. Shaver's Creek).
- Sponsor your own ad-parody or anti-ad in the Collegian or distribute around campus in order to encourage responsible consumption of sci/tech products. www.adbusters.org
- Join the Penn State Indicators Report Staff and work on a specific environmental - sustainable topic here at PSU. <http://www.bio.psu.edu/greendestiny/indicators.shtml>
- If you are a compsci, engineer, or physical science major consider undergraduate research on solar cells. (Pump up your resume, save the planet, and get in good with your favorite teacher.)
- Get a friend (or two or three) to stop smoking by inundating them with information and thus save their lives, reduce unnecessary health costs for society and preserve precious resources (arable land and water).
- Find out what chemicals are behind the "keep off grass" signs. Are there alternatives? What about the elm tree sprays – what are their health effects? Educate the rest of PSU with an editorial in the Collegian.
- "Anti-flyer" college avenue on an STS related topic to educate your fellow students.
- Intern with www.thetruth.com/ or start similar antics here to discourage tobacco use.
- Research home energy conservation and then go door to door doing free "energy audits" for state college home owners.
- Encourage off campus property owners to replace all incandescent light bulbs with compact fluorescents (On campus is fair game too in a few remaining locations: stairwells, married student housing, and Nittany apartments.) www.lightsite.net/
- Turn the state college ride board into a success to encourage car pooling. <http://www.statecollege.com/rideboard/index.phtml>
- Run a Good Will/charity donation drive for move out week in order to encourage closed loop consumption and material conservation.
- Pull off a large (harmless) prank which gets the PSU community to enter into dialogue on an STS related topic. (in the spirit of MIT 'hacking' <http://hacks.mit.edu/Hacks/>)

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

- Write a computer program that calculates the number of trees killed, water used, and energy consumed for the number of computer pages printed – then distribute freely.
- Test the Penn State drinking water for chemical contaminants (not hardness test).
- Start a 'Nittany-hours' bartering scheme modeled off 'Ithaca-hours' which has generated millions of \$ in their local economy. www.lightlink.com/hours/ithacahours/
- Take an alternative spring break, take a friend, and keep a journal. (you have to love a class which gives you credit for spring break). www.clubs.psu.edu/asb/
- Start a "Free University" at PSU. Example: <http://www.freeu.com/index.html> or help organize the "class room without walls" coming in April.
- Start an Anti-Sports Utility Vehicles information drive (start it at Old Main) www.howard.net/ban-suvs.htm

Projects that entail altering University Policy:

- Re-start Housing's "Energy Conservation Competition" between dorms - pizza party and creamery ice cream for the winning dorm.
- Lobby for campus (or off campus) housing to separate electricity from total bill in order to encourage responsible energy use.
- Start a free bike program modeled off of programs in Europe: the Copenhagen system provides free bikes for a small refundable deposit or Zurich's free bike program which accepts passports as bike deposits. You could start the program with the several hundred bikes auctioned off by the University police service every summer.
- Dissuade the University from adding paper towels to the dorm bathrooms in order to reduce material waste.
- Get PSU to add the classroom and administrative building's bathroom paper towels to the list of composted materials.
- Calculate the buyback time for triple pane, argon filled, low e windows. Then get PSU to replace the single pane relics peppering the campus that literally burn thousands of your tuition dollars.
- Get PSU parking passes for "prime" spaces to the new NO emissions-hybrid vehicles.
- Lobby for new bicycle friendly laws "moving vehicle" (e.g. bike cops could sell bike lights rather than fine lightless bikers, open the PSU College Ave. sidewalk to bikers)
- Determine viability of installing pool covers for natatorium to conserve H₂O and energy.
- Find out the price per square foot of the new windows in the HUB. Could the scribed partially transparent solar cells replace them? Would it make sense to start investing in construction materials that produce free energy?
- Replace vacuum aspirators with vacuum pump systems in chemistry labs to conserve water and money.
- Institute parking rates dependent on gas mileage to discourage energy waste.
- Bike paths - Where are they? Why would PSU be better with more than one?
- Investigate the possibility of adding organic food to the dining hall menus.

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

- Get CAC to make Lion Aid the default welcoming screen for university web browsers. www.lionaid.com/
- Convince PSU libraries to stop storing anything on microfiche and go straight to scanning and storing on CDs and when copyright laws provide – put on the internet for everyone's use.
- It would only cost 8 cents per student per year more to use recycled paper in the CAC labs- and we still do not use it. Fix this.
- Get Student Loan Services to email before sending written notice to transfer and continuing students to save paper and money.
- Develop a realistic model of economic buyback for University financed buildings.
- Petition the library to place signs discouraging elevator use (where are those stairs anyway?)
- Is there any recyclable that PSU does not currently recycle? Find out and fix it. Hint: tires.
- Encourage CAC to donate retired PC's to grade schools or retirement homes rather than giving them to salvage or scrapping them www.psu.edu/spacegrant/scrounge.htm
- Get CAC to setup the lab computers to go into sleep mode after 15 min. of non-use (saving energy/\$) and to turn them off entirely at night when not in use.

Are you down with OPP? Lobby the Office of the Physical Plant to institute the following in order to save tuition money and energy and thus reduce greenhouse gas emissions and pollution:

- To monitor the temperature in all campus buildings and set temperatures at a reasonable level. Who among you likes to sweat while you take notes? Who wants to pay a higher tuition for the energy costs?
- Have the thermostats removed from classrooms where socially unaware students turn up the heat as a pathetic excuse for a prank.
- Put parking lots and parking deck lights on either a light schedule that follows day light savings time or install light detectors.
- Low flow faucets or faucet aerators on all sinks.
- Find out how much energy/\$ it wasted by the un-insulated heat pipes running underneath most university sidewalks. Then get them to insulate them.
- Start a campaign to purchase a scrubber for our on campus coal steam plant to limit SO₂ and NO_x emissions.
- Does it make economic and environmental sense for PSU to burn non-recyclable paper in the coal plant?
 - Low water use flush toilets wherever possible or composting toilets wherever applicable (Beaver Stadium?).
- 'Buffalo grass' limits its growth to a short length – what would be the economic and environmental benefits of replanting all the quads? Is it viable?

Published in Joshua Pearce, "[The Use of Self-Directed Learning to Promote Active Citizenship in Science, Technology and Society Classes](#)", *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, **21** (4), 312-321, 2001.

- Or think of your own idea that relates to Science, Technology, and Society and run it by me (Phone: 865-2063 email jmp228@psu.edu).

Or if all of these were too difficult and you can't think of anything of your own you can write a final term paper of no less than 50 pages in length on "What STS means to me"